

# Options for Chairs of Parliamentary Committees

These are three options for adding a citizen process to give Committees access to a common-ground view from an informed pool of randomly-selected citizens.



## Option A:

### Add considered input from citizens

The public submissions are mostly composed of active special interest groups, and the Chair and members would like the *additional input* of a view from everyday community members on **additional sources** of information and **questions** they want answered.

## Option C:

### A Citizens' Jury in partnership with the Inquiry

The issue being explored is very contentious or involves significant trade-offs, and the Chair and members would like to see **how citizens would answer the question** and have this as an input in their deliberations - with a chance to include elements in their final report. This involves having everyday citizens identify and explain critical trade-offs with the aim of increasing public trust in potentially controversial recommendations.



## Option B:

### Finding a balance of submissions

The issue being considered is contentious, controversial or very polarised, and the Chair and members would like to involve an informed group of everyday people to discover where they can **find a balance** on public submissions.

